

1 WILLIAM P. KANNOW, Bar No. 71409
wkannow@perkinscoie.com
2 PERKINS COIE LLP
1620 26th Street
3 Sixth Floor, South Tower
Santa Monica, CA 90404-4013
4 Telephone: 310.788.9900
Facsimile: 310.788.3399

5 Attorneys for Defendants
6 OFFICEMAX INCORPORATED, a Delaware
corporation, and OFFICEMAX NORTH AMERICA,
7 INC., an Ohio corporation

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9
10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11 WILLIAM MINTER, JR., individually
12 and on behalf of all those similarly
situated,

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 OFFICEMAX, INC., a Delaware
16 corporation; OFFICEMAX, INC. fka
BOISE CASCADE CORPORATION, a
17 Delaware corporation; BOISE OFFICE
SOLUTIONS, corporate form unknown;
18 and, DOES 1 through 500, inclusive,

19 Defendants.

Case No. C07-02399 SC

AMENDED NOTICE OF MOTION AND
MOTION TO TRANSFER ACTION TO
THE CENTRAL DISTRICT (SOUTHERN
DIVISION) PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C.
1404(a)

DATE: June 29, 2007
TIME: 10:00 a.m.
CTRM: 1

20
21 TO THIS HONORABLE COURT AND TO PLAINTIFF, AND HIS ATTORNEYS OF
22 RECORD HEREIN:

23 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on June 29, 2007, at 10:00 a.m., or as soon
24 thereafter as the matter may be heard, in Courtroom 1 of the above-entitled court, located
25 at 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, California, the Defendants, OfficeMax
26 Incorporated and OfficeMax North America, Inc. (hereinafter collectively "OfficeMax" or
27 "Defendants") will, and do hereby, move the court for an Order transferring this action –
28

1 pursuant to Title 28 U.S.C. 1404(a) – to the United States District Court for the Central
2 District (Southern Division) of California.

3 This Motion will be based on this Amended Notice, the previously filed and served
4 Memorandum of Fact and Law and supporting Declarations of Kathy Powell and William
5 P. Kanno,¹ in that the convenience of the parties and witnesses, and the interests of
6 justice, compel the transfer of this case to the Central District. Specifically, the named
7 Plaintiff (and his counsel) reside and work within said District; all known percipient
8 witnesses (other than from outside California) reside and work in said District; the situs of
9 relevant documentary evidence (other than that located outside California) is to be found
10 within said District; Defendants maintain local and regional offices within said District;
11 Defendants' counsel works and resides within said District; and a substantially similar (if
12 not identical) previously filed putative class action case is currently pending within said
13 District.

14 DATED: May 15, 2007

PERKINS COIE LLP

By: /s/ William P. Kanno

William P. Kanno

Attorneys for Defendants

OFFICEMAX INCORPORATED and
OFFICEMAX NORTH AMERICA, INC.

26 _____
27 ¹ This Motion, together with its supporting papers, had previously been filed and served on
28 May 11, 2007. The Motion was originally scheduled for hearing before Magistrate Judge
Zimmerman. However, following the filing of Plaintiff's declination, that hearing was
vacated, and this matter was reassigned to this court.